

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

INVENTOR: Carlo RUBBIA  
9 chemin des Tulipiers  
CH-1208 GENEVA  
SWITZERLAND

TITLE: METHOD AND DEVICE FOR HEATING GAS FROM A THIN LAYER  
OF NUCLEAR FUEL, AND SPACE ENGINE USING SUCH METHOD

ATTORNEY: Michael L. Kenaga  
PIPER MARBURY RUDNICK & WOLFE  
P.O. Box 64807  
Chicago, Illinois 60664-0807  
(312) 368-4000

# METHOD AND DEVICE FOR HEATING GAS FROM A THIN LAYER OF NUCLEAR FUEL, AND SPACE ENGINE USING SUCH METHOD

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to the use of nuclear fission to heat gases. It has applications in the field of deep space rocket propulsion, in particular.

10 If nuclear energy is presently competing with other methods on Earth, for deep space travel it has unique features which make it practically indispensable in order to realistically attain the long range goals of a manned exploration of Mars, of the outer planets' satellites and of the Asteroids.

15 Neutron induced fission is the preferred nuclear reaction for practical energy production, because of (1) its remarkable energetic yield ( $\approx 200$  MeV) and (2) its ability of sustaining the reaction with the secondary neutrons.

20 The present state of the art of nuclear space propulsion, is represented for instance by the NERVA design (see "Nuclear thermal rockets: next step to space", Aerospace America, June 1989, pages 16-29 ; or R.W. Bussard, et al. "Nuclear Rocket Propulsion", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958). In the NERVA design, the energy produced by the fission reaction is recovered in the form of high temperature heat from fuel rods. To ensure the heat flow  
25 from the fuel to the propellant gas, the temperature of the propellant gas must be somewhat less than the operating temperature of the fuel, in turn limited by the mechanical strength and the stability of the fuel rods at high temperatures. Furthermore, in order to ensure a good heat

transfer to the propellant, the pressure of the high temperature gas must be very high, of the order of 150 bars.

The exhaust velocity  $v_{\text{exh}}$  of a rocket engine is limited by the enthalpy and the final temperature of the energy-producing reaction, and it is proportional to the so-called specific impulse. The specific impulse is defined as  $I_{\text{sp}} = v_{\text{exh}}/g$ , where  $g = 9.81 \text{ m.s}^{-2}$  is the gravitational constant. It represents the duration over which a given mass of propellant can exercise a thrust force equal to its weight. Chemical rocket engines using liquid hydrogen and oxygen typically operate at exhaust temperatures of 3600 °K under stoichiometric conditions, with an effective molecular weight of about  $A = 11$ , which limits the specific impulse to about 450 s. NERVA type engines perform somewhat better than chemical engines and offer a higher specific impulse of about 950 s. The advantage, however, is mainly due to reductions in the effective molecular weight (the specific impulse is proportional to  $1/\sqrt{A}$ ) due to the use of pure hydrogen gas ( $A = 2$  vs.  $A = 11$ ) rather than an increase in the exhaust temperature.

In fact, NERVA rockets are expected to operate at lower temperatures than chemical rockets (3,000 °K) due, as already pointed out, to material limitations of the reactor core. The vast amount of energy potentially available through the fission process remains largely untapped due to (1) size constraints associated with the minimum critical mass required to sustain the fission chain reaction and (2) the difficulty of extracting heat at sufficiently high temperatures from the reactor. Notwithstanding, the NERVA engine is often cited as being so far the only realistic engine candidate so far for a manned trip to Mars.

The NERVA engine is basically a naked fast reactor, which represents a serious drawback of nuclear engines for space propulsion. Let us consider for instance the set of three NERVA engines as described in a recent NASA Report on  
5 a Mars mission ("Human Exploration of Mars: The Reference Mission of the NASA Mars Exploration Study Team", (including Addendum V3.0, June 1998), NASA SP 6107, 1997). The installed power is near 1 GWatt and about  $3.2 \times 10^{19}$  fast neutrons/s will be expelled from the engines. The standard  
10 allowed dose of  $\leq 10 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{s}$  is attained only at an unshielded distance of 5,000 km.

In addition, the neutron leakage will also hamper the simultaneous operation of several NERVA engines nearby, as in the above-mentioned report. Indeed, a reactor - even if  
15 switched off by control bars - is still a sub-critical, multiplying device and it will produce power if irradiated by neutrons from the nearby engines. For instance, if a mere 1% of the neutrons from one engine hit the neighbour unit scrambled at  $k = 0.99$ , the latter will swing into full power.  
20 If it is already on, the additional neutron contribution will be sufficient to bring it to prompt criticality. The coupled control system for mutually interfering reactors is, in our view, a true nightmare and unrealistic in a manned space mission.

25 For any engine to be used for interplanetary travel, the residual neutron flux outside the engine should be sufficiently low as to permit the operation of the engine not too far from the Space Station (ISS) which is considered as the main "docking point" for the interplanetary journey.  
30 In addition, the dose given to the crew should also be small compared to the inevitable dose from the cosmic ray background, which amounts to about 40 rad/y.

The potential features of several nuclear devices for a Space Propulsion engine beyond the potentialities of NERVA have been illustrated by several papers (T. Kammash, ed., "Fusion Energy in Space Propulsion", AIAA Progress in Astron. And Aeron., Vol. 167, AIAA, NY, 1995 ; or N.R. Schulze, "The NASA-LEWIS Program on Fusion Energy for space Power and Propulsion", Fusion Technology, 19-1, pages 11-28, 1991). They are mostly based on Fusion rather than Fission, primarily because this process permits the use of charged reaction products directly to heat up the exhausted gas to high temperatures in the form of a plasma.

Both inertial and magnetically confined Fusion have been extensively explored. The choice of Fusion as a reference has been driven by the obvious argument that the ionising reaction products, which are used to heat-up the propellant, are much easier to extract from a Magnetically Confined (MC) or an Inertial Fusion (IF) device.

However, huge fundamental and technological problems have so far hampered the realisation of a practical Fusion, energy producing device on Earth, and even more so in space. Fusion machines, especially MC, are necessarily very large devices, of very complex technology and hardly adapted to the conditions of a long interplanetary journey.

Another concept of nuclear propulsion, based on fission, is the so-called plasma core propulsion. We mention the coaxial flow system and the nuclear light bulb engines (see R. Ragsdale, et al., « Gas Core Rocket Reactors - a New Look », NASA TM X-67823, 1971 ; and J.D. CLEMENT et al., « Gas Core Reactor Technology », Reactor Technol. 13-3, 1970). In these devices the fissionable material (enriched  $^{235}\text{U}$ ) is allowed to heat-up to plasma temperatures, up to 50,000 °K, and its radiation is used to heat up the hydrogen

gas. This is not a trivial task, since hydrogen and most of the other light gases are optically transparent at temperatures less than about 15,000 °K, except to their own radiation (lines).

5       Typically, a coaxial flow plasma reactor for space propulsion is expected to operate at 6,000 MWatt power, producing  $I_{sp} = 4,000$  s. The cavity diameter is about 4 m, the pressure ranges from 400 to 600 bars and the total weight is of the order of 500 tons. The critical mass is  
10       between 40 to 80 kg of  $^{235}\text{U}$ . It is not clear how such a mass could be brought at start-up from solid to the plasma state.

      The nuclear light bulb concept, unlike the coaxial flow system provides for full containment of the fuel within a transparent, internally cooled wall configuration, thereby  
15       circumventing the problem of fuel mixing with the propellant with a consequent loss in the exhaust. The fissioning plasma is kept away from the transparent walls by a tangentially injected swirl flow of buffer gas, which is re-circulated, with the Uranium losses recovered and re-circulated in the  
20       plasma. Otherwise, the principle of operation is the same as the coaxial flow plasma reactor. Typical data for the nuclear light bulb engine are : power 4,600 MWatt;  $I_{sp} = 1,870$  s; weight 35 tons, edge of the fuel temperature 5,000 °K and pressure 500 bars.

25       These concepts have been investigated in detail, though no test has been made. It is expected to be a difficult technology, the main concern being the control of the criticality of the Uranium plasma. Indeed a change in the multiplication coefficient of  $\leq 0.7\%$  - if not compensated  
30       promptly by the control bars - would lead to a prompt criticality accident. For a thick fissile material and

neglecting the effects of the reflector, the critical mass is proportional to the inverse of the squared density. In addition, cross sections and hence the critical mass are functions of the temperature. Furthermore, it is not clear  
5 how an effective and safe control system can be realised in view of the rapid motion of the inner core (fissionable plasma and surrounding gas) and the possible emergence of hydro-dynamical instabilities.

An object of the present invention is to propose an  
10 alternative way of heating gases by means of nuclear fission reactions, which is suitable for space propulsion applications.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention proposes a gas heating method wherein gas  
15 is introduced into at least one chamber having a wall coated with fissile material, and the fissile material is exposed to a neutron flux to induce fission, whereby fission fragments are released into the chamber.

A major part of the fission-induced energy is evolved  
20 in the form of kinetic energy of the fission fragments (FF). The fissile coating of the chamber provides an essentially two-dimensional fuel, so that a significant portion of the FF are kicked out of the layer into the volume containing the gas. Hence, the FF kinetic energy is transferred to the  
25 gas to heat it very efficiently.

The fission is preferably induced in critical conditions, although sub-critical arrangements could be contemplated too.

The chamber wall is cooled from a rear face thereof  
30 with respect to the chamber and the fissile material

coating. The cooling medium may be a molten metal such as  ${}^7\text{Li}$ .

In a typical embodiment, the fissile material coating has a fissile content lower than  $10 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ , preferably in the  
5 range from 1 to  $3 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ , providing an optimal compromise between the onset of the fission reaction and the release of the FF.

A preferred fissile isotope for the fissile material coating is  ${}^{242}\text{mAm}$ . Other usable isotopes are  ${}^{233}\text{U}$ ,  ${}^{235}\text{U}$  and  
10  ${}^{239}\text{Pu}$ . The fissile material may be in the form of a carbide.

In order to enhance the neutron efficiency, the chamber, e.g. of tubular shape, is located inside an enclosure surrounded by a neutron reflector comprising, e.g. carbon, beryllium and/or beryllium oxide. Typically, there  
15 will be a plurality of chambers arranged in the enclosure surrounded by the neutron reflector for receiving the heated gas. In an advantageous embodiment, the neutron reflector comprises, around the enclosure, a thickness of carbon material of at least  $50/d$  (in cm) and preferably of at least  
20  $150/d$ , where  $d$  is the density of the carbon material expressed in  $\text{g/cm}^3$ .

For controlling the fission reaction, the neutron reflector may have cavities for receiving removable neutron-absorbing control rods.

In an application of the method to a rocket engine, the  
25 chamber is in communication with an exhaust nozzle through a throat provided in the neutron reflector. The enclosure may have a fuel region where the chamber is located, and a hot gas collecting region between the fuel region and the  
30 throat. A cooling medium is then circulated in a circuit having a first portion on a face of the neutron reflector

adjacent to the hot gas collecting region and a second portion located in the fuel region and separated from the hot gas collecting region by a partition having an aperture in which an open end of the coated chamber wall is inserted.

5 The coated chamber wall separates the chamber from the second portion of the cooling circuit inside the fuel region.

The wall of the chamber is advantageously made of a porous material, for example a carbon material. The gas can  
10 then be introduced through pores of the porous wall material. Such wall is preferably coated with a gas-tight layer (for example titanium carbide) on a rear face thereof with respect to the chamber and the fissile material coating. That layer may also isolate the chamber wall  
15 material from the adjacent coolant.

Another aspect of the invention relates to a gas heating device for carrying out the method outlined hereabove. Such device comprising at least one chamber for containing gas having a wall coated with fissile material,  
20 and means for exposing the fissile material to a neutron flux to induce fission and the release of fission fragments into the chamber.

A further aspect of the invention relates to a space engine comprising a gas heating device as defined hereabove  
25 and means for expelling the heated gas into space to generate thrust.

The direct use of the FF to heat a low molecular weight (typically hydrogen) propellant does not suffer from the energy and material limitations imposed on chemical and  
30 NERVA engines. The engine is based on (1) a very hot gas and a cold fuel configuration and (2) a very small amount of nuclear fuel in the form of a very thin ( $\approx 3 \mu\text{m}$ ) layer which

is very efficiently burnt. A special neutron dynamics is used in order to ensure that even such a ultra-thin fuel layer is sufficient to reach criticality. The ultra high vacuum, necessarily present in space, is used to evacuate  
5 part of the produced FF.

The remaining fraction of the FF, not dissipated in the gas, is sufficient to ensure the presence of a sufficient number of delayed neutrons, needed for control if a critical reactor option is chosen.

10 The very high temperature of the exhausted gas, once transformed by the nozzle into coherent motion in the direction of the thrust, produces exhaust speeds  $v_{\text{exh}}$  and corresponding specific impulses  $I_{\text{sp}}$  which are much larger than those of a typical chemical fuel or of a NERVA nuclear  
15 engine.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a graph showing the fraction of the FF energy escaping one side of a flat deposit (foil) of fissionable material ( $^{242}\text{mAm}$ ). The asymptotic limit of 0.44  
20 for zero thickness, in which half of the FF escape, is one half of the total energy given to the FF by the fission process.

Figure 2 shows a schematic layout used for calculations. A hollow neutron reflector 1 with coated thin,  
25 uniform deposit of fissionable material 2, surrounds a volume 3 filled with low pressure gas and in which FF emitted by the foil are propagating and eventually coming to rest.

Figure 3 is a graph showing the multiplication coefficient  $k$  for simplified geometry of a single layer on a spherical reflector as a function of the thickness of the reflector layer and of the deposit of fissile  $^{242}\text{mAm}$ .

5        Figure 4 is a graph showing the multiplication coefficient  $k$ , calculated in the simple diffusion theory, for different fissile elements and carbon reflector thickness of 20 cm and 40 cm (density:  $1.9 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ), as a function of the product  $[\text{area gain, } G_{\text{area}}] \times [\text{layer thickness}$   
10  $(\text{mg/cm}^2)]$ , simply proportional to the total amount of fuel in the cavity. Cross sections are evaluated at 0.15 eV. Results for  $^{233}\text{U}$  are very close to the ones of  $^{235}\text{U}$  and have not been displayed for clarity.

Figure 5 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a  
15 hollow tube of small diameter 4 internally coated with a thin fuel deposit 5. The propellant gas is flowing out of the walls through pores or small holes, with the flow schematically indicated by the small arrows 6 and it exits through the open end of the tube 7. The pressure of the gas  
20 is maintained to a prescribed value in the tube by the back pressure acting on 7 and dynamically generated by the nozzle throat.

Figure 6 is a graph showing the dependence of the main parameters of a multiple-tube configuration as a function of  
25 the dimension of the reflector's inner radius ( $2R = h$ ). The packing fraction is assumed to be  $f = 0.7$ .

Figure 7 is a graph showing the emerging FF kinetic energy spectrum, averaged over daughter nuclear composition, for different deposit thickness.

30        Figure 8 is a graph showing the surviving net ion charge distribution at the exit of the foil, averaged over

daughter nuclear composition, for different deposit thickness.

Figure 9 shows the specific energy losses (MeV per mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for a variety of ions from Z=34 to Z=64 in solid Uranium and Hydrogen gas, as a function of the kinetic energy in MeV/AMU. We remark the much greater specific ionisation losses in Hydrogen, due to the slower speed of the orbital electrons. The surviving ion charge is decreasing at lower speeds and it is the cause of the smaller ionisation losses at low ion speed, i.e. absence of the Bragg's peak.

Figure 10 is a graph showing the specific energy deposition, averaged over the FF spectrum and directions in hydrogen gas as a function of the distance from the (infinite) foil, for various deposit thickness

Figure 11 is a graph showing the fractional energy deposition in 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  ( $\omega(t)$ ) due to FF at the distance  $t$  ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) from an infinite uniform foil with a deposit of  $^{242}\text{mAm}$ , 3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick. The combined effect of two such foils facing each other at a distance of 500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  is also shown. In order to find the actual energy deposited in 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$  of Hydrogen we must multiply  $\omega(t)$  by the energy emitted by each foil per cm<sup>2</sup>.

Figure 12 is a graph showing the power density  $dW/dm$  deposited in the gas according to a coupled hydrodynamic and FF propagation numerical calculation for the cylindrical geometry of Figure 5 and a specific total nuclear surface power density of 200 Watt/cm<sup>2</sup>. The final temperature is radiation limited to 9400 K. The tube diameter has been set to 40 cm and the tube length to 250 cm. However the ratio size/pressure is an excellent scaling parameter in order to

extend the result to different tube diameters. As long as the length is much greater than the tube diameter, the energy distribution in the central part is uniform and independent of the tube length. Several pressures in the interval 1 + 30 bar are displayed.

Figure 13 is a graph showing the fraction of the FF energy deposited in the gas  $dW/dm$  as a function of the gas pressure according to conditions described for Figure 12. The "scaling" parameter is the product [pressure]  $\times$  [tube diameter].

Figure 14 is a graph showing an optimal tube diameter for the geometry of Figure 5 and 90% FF energy deposition in the gas as a function of the tube pressure.

Figure 15 is a graph showing the radial variation from uniformity of specific FF energy deposition  $dW/dm$  at optimal pressure (90% FF energy deposition in the gas) and cylindrical geometry of Figure 5, according to conditions of Figure 12.

Figure 16 is a graph showing the surviving radiated power, integrated over the spectrum, in Watt for 1  $\mu g$  of radiating gas as a function of the traversed gas thickness, for various gas temperatures. The value of 5  $\mu g/cm^2$  corresponds to about 1 cm of gas at 7,000 °K at a pressure of 3 atm.

Figure 17 is a graph showing the differential mass distribution  $dm/dT$  ( $g.^{\circ}K^{-1}$ ) inside the heating tube (Figure 5), as a function of temperature  $T$ , with and without the effect of distant radiative losses. The curve with radiative losses has an asymptote at about  $T_{\infty} = 9,500$  °K, because of the equilibrium between FF heating power and radiated power.

Figure 18 is a graph showing the optical power radiated back onto the walls and fraction of the FF power radiated back to the walls, as a function of the final, stagnation temperature  $T_{\max}$  for an engine with parameters of Figure 12.

5        Figure 19 shows the basic layout of an engine according to the invention. Dimensions and number of tubes are purely indicative.

Figure 20 is a cross-sectional view along the medium plane of Figure 19. Dimensions and number of tubes are  
10        totally indicative.

Figure 21 is a principle diagram of a "leaky" wall of the tube. A small slice of the circular section of the tube 28 is shown. The inner volume 29 of the tube is filled with gas, while the tube is immersed in the coolant 36.

15        Figure 22 is a graph showing the ratio of areas of radiator and of heat producing layer as a function of the radiator's temperature in °C, for different values of the layer specific fission power. The boiling point of Lithium at atmospheric pressure is also indicated.

20        Figure 23 is a schematic, principle diagram of the cooling system of an engine as illustrated in figure 19.

Figure 24 is a graph showing the exhaust speed and specific impulse for an optimised exhaust cone in the 1-D approximation as a function of the stagnation temperature.  
25        The upper curve corresponds to adiabatic equilibrium with complete recombination while the lower curve assumes no recombination. The stagnation pressure is 6 atm.

#### DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

## 1.- Fission fragment heating

The well known neutron induced fission reaction is the preferred source of nuclear energy because of its high energy yield and its ability of sustaining a chain reaction based on neutrons. In this reaction, the initial nucleus is split into two fission fragments (FF) and a number of neutrons ( 2) which are necessary to continue the chain reaction. The average energy sharing in a typical fission reaction is such that a major proportion - namely 168 MeV/191 MeV = 88 % - of the usable energy (neutrinos are excluded) is produced in the form of kinetic energy by the pair of FF. The fragments of father nucleus being split away beyond the range of the (attractive) nuclear forces, energy is produced by the strong electrostatic repulsion between the two fragments - the rest being de-excitation of the nuclear levels with gamma and neutron emission, possibly followed by de-excitation.

FF travel a very short way in the fissionable fuel, delivering energy in the form of heat in the immediate vicinity of the father nucleus, with an extremely high specific ionisation losses due to their large charge. The longest range of each of the two FF being typically 10  $\mu$ m in a metallic fuel, such a strongly localised energy deposition is generally not directly accessible and the high specific heat deposition of the FF is diluted by thermal conductivity within the bulk mass of the fuel.

A method for a practical, direct utilisation of the FF kinetic energy escaping a thin, fissionable layer deposited on a foil is disclosed herein, in the framework of a critical (or possibly also a sub-critical) fission driven nuclear reactor. This energy is dissipated in the

neighbouring gas medium, for instance hydrogen or other propellant gas which is powerfully heated, typically up to a vicinity of 10,000 °K.

5 The most direct applications of this method is rocket propulsion for space-born missions. Therefore the engine is generally intended to be operated in interplanetary vacuum. The ultra high vacuum, necessarily present in space, is used to evacuate with the gas also the FF which escape from the foil. The rest of the FF remain generally implanted in the  
10 foil, although, with time, some additional fraction may also be later evaporated and lost in space.

Compared to chemical propulsion engines which are running at high thrust for a relatively short time, this method, like ionic propulsion, produces a continuous but low  
15 intensity thrust, suitable to long journeys in deep space. However it is potentially much more powerful than ionic propulsion, since thrust powers of many MWatt may be readily produced. A large scale engine, appropriate to manned interplanetary journeys, can be envisaged. The engine structure,  
20 based on a very hot gas and a cold fuel configuration, is relatively simple, with few functional components; there are no fast moving large elements and it is of easy and flexible operation.

Such high temperature is transformed by the nozzle into  
25 a jet of atomic hydrogen of high speed, namely a specific impulse  $I_{sp}$  2,000 s, much larger than  $I_{sp}$  430 s of the best chemical engines. A required final rocket speed can be achieved with a substantially smaller mass of propellant, which in turn extends the potential range of the journey or,  
30 alternatively, shortens its duration.

## 2.- Achieving criticality.

In order to let FF exit efficiently the fuel material, the fissionable material must be in the form of a very thin (a few  $\text{mg}/\text{cm}^2$ ) deposit, either in metallic or otherwise  
5 chemical compound form. The FF being emitted at random direction and uniformly along the thickness of the layer, the fraction of the FF kinetic energy carried out of the deposit is shown in Figure 1. In the figure we display the fraction of the total nuclear energy produced inside the  
10 layer which escapes in the form of kinetic energy of the FF. It is apparent that the energy extraction efficiency is a fast decreasing function of the layer thickness. Layer thickness of the order of  $1 + 3 \text{ mg}/\text{cm}^2$  are appropriate compromise between layer thickness and good FF energy  
15 transfer out of the deposit.

A thickness of  $1 \text{ mg}/\text{cm}^2$  corresponds to about 1,100 atomic layers of fissile material (e.g.  $^{242}\text{mAm}$ ) or a mere 10 gram deposit over a square metre. How can we get criticality with such highly diluted fissile material ? For instance a  
20 (thermal) neutron traversing normally such a layer, using the most favourable element  $^{242}\text{mAm}$ , for which  $\sigma_{\text{fiss}} \approx 5,300$  barn, the interaction probability is a mere 1.31 %. For other, more common elements, like for instance  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{233}\text{U}$  or  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  this interaction probability is more than a factor 10  
25 smaller (see Table 1). Many, successive neutron traversals of the fissile layer are therefore required. In the present invention, this is achieved with an appropriate configuration of (thin) fuel layers inside a very effective neutron reflector.

$\backslash$	Capture (barn)	Fission (barn)	$\frac{\sigma_{\text{capt}}}{\sigma_{\text{capt}} + \sigma_{\text{fiss}}}$	Daughter nucleus	Daught. Capture (barn)
$^{233}\text{U}$	27.91	215.97	0.11	$^{234}\text{U}$	36.71
$^{235}\text{U}$	34.45	207.51	0.14	$^{236}\text{U}$	2.19
$^{239}\text{Pu}$	310.34	535.24	0.37	$^{240}\text{Pu}$	150.60
$^{242\text{m}}\text{Am}$	1098.89	5248.15	0.17	$^{243}\text{Am}$	34.51

Table 1. Some cross sections at 0.15 eV for relevant fuel elements.

Let us consider first an idealised configuration of a cavity, in which some fuel is introduced inside a surrounding thick, neutron reflecting material. Neutrons will "ping-pong" inside the cavity between the reflecting walls and traverse many times the thin, fuel loaded foils. Neutrons acquire a larger fission probability. Criticality is ensured since the newly fission produced neutrons continue the process.

Since the attenuation probability at each cavity crossing is small, the flux inside the cavity will be approximately uniform. Therefore the interaction probability per unit fuel mass will be independent on the actual spatial configuration of the fuel inside the device. For computational purposes and in a first approximation we can therefore assume that (Figure 2) inside the reflector 1 the fuel layer 2 is uniformly distributed over the inner walls of the cavity 3. This may be merely a computational artefact. In practice the inner structure may consist of several cylindrical units with a thin fissile layer deposited on the inner walls.

After a fast slowdown process in the reflector, the average (fission produced) neutron kinetic energy will quickly approach the thermal energy at the temperature of the reflector. A simple calculation based on diffusion  
 5 theory for thermalised neutrons shows that, for the idealised fuel configuration, the flux in the presence of the (infinite) reflector is enhanced with respect with the one without reflector approximately by the factor

$$F = \frac{1}{kD} = \sqrt{\frac{3 \Sigma_{\text{ela}}}{\Sigma_{\text{capt}}}}$$

10 where  $\Sigma_{\text{ela}}$  ,  $\Sigma_{\text{capt}}$  are respectively the elastic and capture cross sections of the diffusing material. Some candidate elements are listed in Table 2. Low A elements have been chosen, since they ensure a fast thermalisation of the fission produced neutrons. The quantity  $D = \Sigma_{\text{ela}}/3$  is the so-  
 15 called diffusion coefficient and  $1/L = \kappa = \sqrt{\Sigma_{\text{capt}} / D}$  is the diffusion parameter. More complicated chemical compounds, containing elements with small neutron capture macroscopic cross sections can also be used. We note that oxygen has such properties: for instance the properties of the BeO are  
 20 very close to the one of metallic Beryllium.

Material	Density (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$L=1/\kappa$ (cm)	D (cm)	$\sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle}$ (cm)	$F = \sqrt{\frac{3\sigma_x}{\sigma_a}}$
Liq. H	0.07	9.76	0.65	3.98	26.14
Liq D	0.14	2425.63	12.15	990.26	244.53
<sup>7</sup> Li	0.534	84.75	7.26	34.60	12.27
Beryllium	1.84	31.12	0.44	12.70	73.58
Carbon	1.90	66.59	0.72	27.19	94.78

Table 2. List of some elements of relevance as a reflector.

A finite reflector thickness will give a smaller  $F$ , the fallout of the flux in the reflector being roughly exponential with characteristic length  $L$ . Therefore  $L$  represents the reference unit for the required thickness of the reflector. We conclude that Be(BeO) and C represent interesting candidates; Li is too transparent ( $D$  too large) and  $F$  is too small; Deuterium has  $L$  too large. With Be(BeO) and C very substantial enhancement factors are possible.

The actual neutron multiplication parameter  $k$  ( $k=1$  for criticality) as a function of the equivalent single <sup>242m</sup>Am layer and Carbon reflector thickness have been calculated with Monte-Carlo methods and shown in Figure 3. Control rods should be added, inserted in the reflector in order to control the neutron multiplication and to keep it near 1 at all times, avoiding prompt criticality, as in an ordinary power reactor.

The choice of the fuel element is strongly related to the requirement of criticality. We list in Table 1 some of the relevant parameters for possible fuel candidates at the

average neutron energy of 0.15 eV, corresponding to a reflector temperature of 1,500 °K.

As already pointed out, the actual engine structure will consist of a configuration of several layers, with a fuelled surface substantially larger than the one of the single layer on the inner walls of the reflector. The ratio of these two areas is indicated as the multiplicative area gain  $G_{\text{area}}$  of the actual configuration with respect to the simple layer configuration. In Figure 4 we display the multiplication coefficient  $k$ , calculated in the simple diffusion theory, for different fissile elements and C reflector thickness of 20 cm and 40 cm (density: 1.9 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), as a function of the product [area gain,  $G_{\text{area}}$ ] × [layer thickness (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>)], simply proportional to the total amount of fuel in the cavity. Cross sections are evaluated at 0.15 eV. Results for <sup>233</sup>U are very close to the ones of <sup>235</sup>U and have not been displayed for clarity.

We remark that <sup>242m</sup>Am is an outstanding case, but it is also possible to bring to criticality both <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>235</sup>U (<sup>233</sup>U). However in the case of <sup>235</sup>U the reflector thickness has to be augmented substantially. In general, the choice of less performing fuels implies a more massive reflector, in order to compensate for the reduced performance.

The nuclear properties of <sup>242m</sup>Am ( $t_{1/2}$  = 141 y) are briefly summarised, having in mind the likely destination of the described engine, i.e. propulsion in space. The main decay mode (99.95%) is a transition to the ground state <sup>242</sup>Am ( $t_{1/2}$  = 16.01 h) by internal conversion with the emission of a soft electron (40.3 keV), the rest being  $\alpha$ -decay. The daughter nucleus decays 17.3 % into <sup>242</sup>Pu ( $t_{1/2}$  =  $3.76 \times 10^5$

y) and 82.7 % into  $^{242}\text{Cm}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 162.9$  d), which in turn by  $\alpha$ -decays transforms into  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 87.72$  y).

The ingestive radio-toxicity of  $^{242m}\text{Am}$  and its decay products is about one half of the one of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$ , for equal masses and during the first hundred years. Therefore potential environmental risks in using either of these elements in comparable amounts are quite similar. This last element is already well known in space applications, since it has been used as an energy source for instance in Voyager, Cassini and other missions.

A long burn-up of the fuel (defined as the total visible energy which can be produced by a unit fuel element mass) requires that the ratio  $\sigma_{\text{capt}}/(\sigma_{\text{capt}}+\sigma_{\text{fiss}})$  should be as small as possible, in order to avoid an exaggerated production of the daughters elements by the reaction  $(A, Z) + n \rightarrow (A+1, Z) + \gamma$ , which in turn may subtract neutrons with captures. In addition, for a given fissile mass, the useful burn-up scales also like  $\sigma_{\text{capt}}/(\sigma_{\text{capt}}+\sigma_{\text{fiss}})$ , since the capture channel produces no appreciable energy.

It is evident from Table 1 that while  $^{235}\text{U}$  and  $^{233}\text{U}$  have excellent nuclear characteristics, very similar to the ones of  $^{242m}\text{Am}$ , the fractional capture cross section of  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  is much higher and it leads to a daughter nucleus which has also a large capture cross section. Therefore a substantially shorter burn-up has to be expected. In view of the low radio-toxicity and of the excellent fission properties,  $^{235}\text{U}$ , is also a possible substitute for  $^{242m}\text{Am}$  although it may require a heavier reflector.

The actual configuration of the reflector has to be calculated for each specific case. However there is no doubt

that configurations can be found following the proposed method, in which such a thin fuel layer can lead to criticality.

3.- The general layout of the heating region.

5 An optimisation of the use of space inside the moderator's cavity suggests a very large number of hollow tubes of small diameter with an inside coating of fissile material, schematically shown in Figure 5. Each cylindrical tube 4 is internally coated by a thin fuel deposit 5. As  
10 better illustrated later on, the propellant gas is flowing out of the walls through pores or small holes, with the flow schematically indicated by the small arrows 6 and it exits through the open end of the tube 7. The pressure of the gas is maintained to a prescribed value in the tube by the back  
15 pressure acting on 7 and dynamically generated by the nozzle throat, namely the small aperture through which the gas exhausts into space.

Assume next for illustration a cylindrical geometry in which the volume inside the hollow reflector is filled with a compact array of many these small diameter tubes and a packing fraction  $f < 1$ , defined as the fraction of the volume occupied by the tubs. The ratio of surfaces of the single cylinder and of the assembly of the  $n$  cylinders inside is roughly

$$G_{\text{area}} = \frac{\text{Surface many}(n)}{\text{Surface single}} \approx \sqrt{nf}$$

These tubular elements are somehow the analogue of the fuel rods in a ordinary reactor. There is no reason why n should not be truly large, as long as the mechanical

structure of each tube is kept simple. Just like in the case of a reactor, a cooling fluid can be circulated between these tubes. Following this analogy, rod cooling can be performed either with a liquid or with a gas. However gas  
5 cooling, in order to be efficient, requires high pressures and large circulating volumes. Therefore a liquid coolant is preferable, possibly in a "biphase" (boiling) mode. In view of the high temperature required to dissipate heat in space, the preferred choice is a light molten metal, for instance  
10 Lithium ( ${}^7\text{Li}$ ), already used in nuclear space applications and which has the excellent neutronic properties illustrated in Table 2.

A first order exemplification of the multiple-tube configuration may be based on the following, sketchy  
15 geometry:

3.1.1) We assume that the reflector has a cylindrical inner volume with a height  $h$  equal to the diameter ( $h=2R$ ): this configuration ensures optimal neutron containment, since it maximises the volume over  
20 inner surface ratio.

3.1.2) The inside of the volume is filled with a compact array of  $n$  tubes with  $f = 0.7$ . The tubes have a height equal to  $h$  and a radius  $r$ . It is easy to show that  $n \approx fR^2/r^2$ .

25 We show in Figure 6 the variation of the main parameters as a function of the reflector inner size,  $R$ . For clarity, we have set the tube diameter to a realistic value,  $2r = 10$  cm. The resulting number of tubes is quite large: from  $n = 100$  for  $R = 60$  cm they grow to  $n = 400$  for  $R = 120$  cm, with  
30 a  $R^2$  dependence. The surface of the fuel layer goes from  $38 \text{ m}^2$  for  $R = 60$  to  $304 \text{ m}^2$  for  $R = 120$  cm, with a  $R^2h \propto R^3$

dependence. Similar, fast dependence is obviously to be expected for the mass of fuel and the consequent number of days of burn-up at a given delivered power.

Particularly significant is the surface multiplication  
5 factor, as much as  $G_{\text{area}} = 8.4$  and  $G_{\text{area}} = 16.8$  for the two indicated values of  $R$ , growing linearly with  $R$ . Some parameter lists are given in Table 3.

	A	B	C	
Radius cavity	100.0	125.0	150.0	cm
Length tubes	200.0	250.0	300.0	cm
Radius tube	5.0	5.0	5.0	cm
Gas pressure	15.0	15.0	15.0	bar
Number of tubes	280.0	438.0	630.0	
Surface Fuel layer	175.9	343.6	593.8	m <sup>2</sup>
Area gain over single tube	14.0	17.5	21.0	
Fuel mass @ 1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	1.8	3.4	5.9	kg
Fuel mass @ 3 mg/ cm <sup>2</sup>	5.3	10.3	17.8	kg
Delivered energy @ 3 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	2638.9	5154.2	8906.4	MWatt × day
Fuel duration @ 100 MWatt	26.4	51.5	89.1	days

Table 3. Typical multiple-tube arrangements

Such a large area gain  $G_{\text{area}}$  over a single layer, typically as much as one order of magnitude, can be exploited in two different ways :

- 5        3.1.3) to reduce the fuel layer thickness and to improve correspondingly the efficiency of FF extraction. For instance the fraction of the energy extracted from the foil by the FF grows from 23.8 % at 3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> to 33.9 % at 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> and up to 44% for a
- 10        nearly zero thickness foil.

3.1.4) To increase the amount of fissile material for a given foil thickness and to extend the burn-up length between refuelling.

3.1.5) To allow the use of less readily fissionable fuels, like for instance  $^{235}\text{U}$ ,  $^{233}\text{U}$  or  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ .

A more precise choice of these parameters is obviously determined by the specific requirements of the mission to be performed.

The fuel configuration needed to exploit efficiently the FF kinetic energy is rather unconventional and it is necessary to discuss it in more detail some relevant questions. We shall concentrate on  $\text{Am}^{242\text{m}}$ . We recall that the "second best" from the neutron multiplication point of view would be  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ . Also  $^{233}\text{U}$  or  $^{235}\text{U}$  could be used with appropriate enhancement of the reflector performance and at larger area gain  $G_{\text{area}}$ . Similar considerations apply for all these elements.

The chemical form of the fuel deposit deserves special attention in order to ensure the longest survival time. The main relevant effects on the layer are the presence (1) of the gas to be heated and (2) of the radiation damage due to neutrons and FF. The consideration (1) is of course dependent on the type of gas we wish to heat up. Let us consider first the case in which the propellant gas to be heated is hydrogen, of excellent rocket performance:

3.1.6) The Americium carbide  $\text{Am}_2\text{C}_3$  has been proven to be strongly resilient in the presence of hydrogen and it is recommended for application with this gas, up to temperatures in excess of  $1,500^\circ\text{C}$ .

3.1.7) Particularly interesting are tertiary compounds, like for instance the U-Zr-Nb carbide which has

5 already been used in the NERVA-NASA nuclear  
propulsion, gas reactor. The U-Zr-Nb carbide has  
operated in NERVA without appreciable damage over  
several hours in an atmosphere of hot hydrogen  
(3000 °K) at high pressure (150 bars). Although we  
have now a thin layer rather than a bulk material,  
this technology appears safe, especially if one  
takes into account that in our conditions,  
temperature and pressure are far less extreme.  
10 The substitution of the Uranium with Americium  
(Am-Zr-Nb carbide) or possibly Plutonium (Pu-Zr-Nb  
carbide) is possible without additional problems,  
leading to compounds with properties very similar  
to the ones of the U-Zr-Nb carbide.

15 Use of other gases may change the requirements on the  
chemical composition of the layer. For instance, in  
addition to the two compounds 3.1.6) and 3.1.7), also other  
compounds can be used in the case of an inert noble gas,  
like Helium, Argon, etc.:

20 3.1.8) a pure Americium metallic deposit: density 13.67  
g/cm<sup>3</sup>, melting point of 1,176 °C and boiling point  
of 2,011 °C. This chemical form is unsuited to the  
presence of hydrogen gas, because of the possible  
formation of an hydride compound of the type AmH<sub>2</sub>  
25 and AmH<sub>3</sub>. Note that the Am metal could be also in  
the form of a thin "wet" (liquid) layer at high  
temperature.

3.1.9) The Americium oxide AmO<sub>2</sub> is the most stable of the  
oxides and with high melting point. But in the  
30 presence of (strongly reducing) hot hydrogen it is  
likely to decompose into metal and therefore as in

case 3.1.8), it is only suitable to heat non reducing gases.

Clearly the choice of an appropriate chemical composition of the layer requires dedicated consideration of the chemical behaviour in the presence of each specific gas, whether hydrogen, helium or other gases.

Radiation damage is a second, important element which has to be carefully investigated since, like the chemical stability of the layer, it may limit the extent of the achievable burn-up.

3.1.10) The integrated neutron flux inside the moderator's corresponding to  $1/e$  of the fuel transmuted is:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi dt &= 1/(\sigma_{fiss} + \sigma_{fiss})^2 \\ &= 10^{21}/(1.099 + 5.248) = 1.57 \times 10^{20} \text{ n / cm}^2 \end{aligned}$$

( $^{242m}\text{Am}$ ), i.e. quite small because of the large absorption cross sections of the fissionable fuel. In addition, the spectrum is strongly peaked around thermal energies, which further reduces the radiation damage. This integrated neutron flux is several orders of magnitude smaller than the one typical for the spent fuel of an ordinary reactor. Therefore there are serious problems, neither in the engine elements nor in the reflector, even after many refuelling.

3.1.11) A potential technical problem is related to the stability of the  $^{242m}\text{Am}$  layer under the strong ionisation of the locally produced FF. The total radiation damage of the  $^{242m}\text{Am}$  layer has been estimated to be roughly of the order of 30 d.p.a. (displacements per atom) over the maximum

perspective burn-up, corresponding to one half of the fuel fissioned. Beam windows exposed to charged particle beams, like it is the case for the dominant contribution due to the FF, show no serious damage after such an exposure dose. Since the fission exposed layer has no independent, structural function, radiation damage per se should not be a problem. This is especially so if a suitably high temperature provides for some regeneration annealing. Ordinary metal and oxide fuels in fast breeders have been successfully exposed to a burn-up which is about 1/2 of the value considered in our applications. Note that in their case the radiation damage due to the fast neutrons, for which the radiation damage is far superior than in our case, has to be added.

3.1.12) Another possible cause of loss of part of the  $^{242}\text{mAm}$  layer may be a direct ejection (knock-out scattering) from the layer. However, over the indicated burn-up period, the total flux of outgoing ions is  $\phi \approx 1.21 \times 10^{18} \text{ a/cm}^2$  and the fraction ejected for a cross section  $\sigma_{\text{ej},q=0}$  is  $dn/n = \phi \sigma_{\text{ej},q=0} / 2 = 0.6 \times 10^{18} \sigma_{\text{ej},q=0}$ , where the factor 1/2 takes into account of the fact that the outgoing ion source is uniformly distributed within the layer. For  $dn/n \leq 0.1$  we have  $\sigma_{\text{ej},q=0} \leq 1.64 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2$ , which is a conservative upper limit.

3.1.13) A substantial fraction ( 50%) of the FF from the layer are penetrating the supporting foil and remain implanted in it. This situation is not

different of the one of the cladding of a fuel of an ordinary reactor, in which FF emitted by the edge of the fuel are impinging on the retaining cladding's wall. As in that case, no serious problem is anticipated.

It should be stressed that the survival of the layer all along the anticipated fuel burning, which is an important point, since the engine must operate with the minimum initial fuel stockpile, is strongly dependent on a careful manufacturing process in view of the above-mentioned effects.

It is of interest to examine the evolution of the isotopic composition of the fuel layer during an extended nuclear burning. The produced specific power is given in terms of MWatt/kg of initial fuel. Assume such a power delivered at a constant rate over a period of time (days). The total specific energy (burn-up) which can be extracted from the fuel may be therefore expressed in terms of MWatt/kg  $\times$  day. Complete nuclear transformation of 1 kg of  $^{242}\text{mAm}$  - with about 90 % fissioned and 10% transmuted into (sterile)  $^{243}\text{Am}$  - produces about 840 Mwatt  $\times$  day, or equivalently  $7.26 \times 10^{13}$  Joule, roughly equivalent to the energetic content of 1000 tons of the best chemical fuel (liquid  $\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2$ ).

One may expect to reach a practical burn-up which is about one half this theoretical limit. This means that about one half of the  $^{242}\text{mAm}$  will be actually burnt, assuming of course that the thin layer is not otherwise damaged or evaporated away during the burning.

The time evolution of the isotopic composition of the fuel due to nuclear burning has been simulated with a

complete Monte-Carlo programme. The programme uses a realistic geometry with  $^{242}\text{mAm}$  fuel, burnt with a constant power delivery. The time evolution of the fuel composition, including decay of all elements and especially FF has been  
5 simulated. In the evaluation of the multiplication coefficient  $k$ , the effects of all secondary reactions have been taken into account. The accumulation of FF inside the engine, as time goes on, introduces an additional source of captures and therefore a reduction of the multiplication  
10 coefficient. FF are assumed to remain all inside the engine and therefore to contribute fully to neutron captures. This is conservative, since in reality about  $20 \div 30 \%$  of the FF are immediately expelled with the gas. Some of the remaining FF may diffuse out of the thin layer and be progressively  
15 lost in space mixed with the propellant. Incidentally, the short term (a few minutes) retention within the engine of a major fraction of FF ( $70 \div 80 \%$ ) is useful in order to provide with enough "delayed" neutrons to ensure the control of criticality, as in the case of an ordinary reactor.

20 The fuel burning is stopped when the value of  $k$  drops below 1. The raw multiplication coefficient  $k$ , in absence of control rods, after a first, almost immediate drop due to captures in the Xenon FF, is falling gently with the burn-up, reaching the end of the criticality regime after about  
25 500 Mwatt  $\times$  day/kg. The bulk of the drop is related to the mass reduction of the fuel due to fission and to capture. Evidently, at this point, refuelling is required.

The fraction of the neutrons captured by FF accumulated amount to only about 10% at the maximum burn-up of 500  
30 MWatt  $\times$  day/kg. This fraction decomposes into a constant contribution of xenon, which is quickly transmuted and an otherwise progressively rising contribution from the rest of

the FF products. As already pointed out, this figure has to be considered as a conservative upper limit of the actual neutron loss due to the accumulation of FF products in the engine, since xenon and other elements are most likely to be readily diffused in space.

#### 4.- Heating procedure.

##### 4.1- The FF heating process.

The typical kinetic energy spectrum in MeV of the FF emerging from the foil is shown in Figure 7. FF retain a substantial fraction of their atomic electrons, thus their charge is not equal to Z. The surviving charge distribution at the exit of the foil is shown in Figure 8.

We are interested in the energy losses energy losses in the gas departing from the layer, initially at the temperature of the layer and which is progressively heated to high temperatures while moving away from the foil. The specific ionisation losses in the low Z gas ( $\text{MeV}/(\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2)$ ) are fortunately much greater (typically 20 times) than the ones in the high Z fissionable layer (Figure 9). Energy losses are depressed in the layer since the speed of the FF is generally smaller than the one of the inner electrons of the high Z layer. Thus a much smaller thickness of gas is sufficient to extract most of the energy of the FF.

The difference is further enhanced when the gas, under the effect of the high temperature, is becoming ionised, since energy losses are further enhanced in a sea of free electrons. This is a fortunate circumstance since in the case of a very hot gas, FF-heating has to compete with

radiant energy losses which subtract energy locally to the gas. Evidently the heating process will stop when there is balance between these two processes. Integration over the source distribution in the foil, the angular distribution of the FF and their mass spectrum leads to the efficiency curve of Figure 1, in which the fraction of the total energy delivered by fission exiting the foil in the form of energetic FF is given, in the case of  $^{242}\text{mAm}$ . Very similar curves are obtained for the other candidate fuel elements indicated above.

We now proceed to a specific discussion of the gas heating process by the FF emitted by the layer. We start considering a one-dimensional model of an infinitely extended, thin planar foil with uniform unit surface specific fission power emitted out of the foil  $d^2P/dxdy = \Lambda$ , followed by a half space filled with hydrogen. This very simple model permits to elucidate the main feature of the process. More elaborate calculations taking into account the exact geometry of the engine are presented further on.

The gas density is in turn strongly dependent on the temperature and so will the power delivered over the volume. In order to remove such a temperature dependence it is preferable to consider as a variable the traversed gas thickness in  $\text{mg}/\text{cm}^2$ . As long as the gas temperature is below ionisation threshold, specific ionisation energy losses are strictly proportional to density.

More specifically, in steady conditions of an infinite foil, the specific energy deposition (defined as energy per unit of mass and time, or equivalently as power per unit of mass) of the FF emitted by the foil per unit of gas mass  $dW/dm$ , is a function of the transverse distance from the foil  $z$  and of the (uniform) gas pressure  $p_0$ . Therefore the

dependence on the temperature of the gas density  $\rho(z)$  can be removed, with a variable substitution, in which the "thickness" co-ordinate

$$t(z) = \int_{z'=0}^{z'=z} \rho(z') dz'$$

5 is used instead of  $z$ , where  $\rho$  is the local density. We are interested in  $dW/dm = \Lambda\omega(t)$ , the local power deposition per unit of gas mass. Evidently  $\int \omega(t)dt = 1$ , since integration of  $dW/dm$  over the variable  $t(z)$  gives the power density emitted by unit area  $\Lambda$ . The function  $\omega(t)$  calculated with a  
10 Monte-Carlo method is shown in Figure 10 for a number of different foil thickness. The result indicates a function  $\omega(t)$  smoothly falling with  $t$  (i.e. the highest specific energy deposition occurs near the foil) and extending to a maximum range of about  $500 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , corresponding to  $3 \times 10^{20}$   
15 atoms/ $\text{cm}^2$ . Most of the energy is deposited within about  $1.5 \times 10^{20}$  atoms/ $\text{cm}^2$ .

The energy deposition becomes considerably more uniform if the gas is contained in the gap between two of such foils placed at a gas thickness of the order of  $500 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  apart  
20 (the separation value can be set in a given engine geometry for instance by adjusting the operating pressure). The sum  $dW/dm = \Lambda[\omega(t) + \omega(500 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2 - t)]$  exhibits a relatively flat distribution (Figure 11).

A correct analysis of the heating process requires a  
25 coupled hydrodynamic and FF propagation numerical calculation, which has been performed with the geometry of Figure 5 and a final temperature of  $9400^\circ\text{K}$  (radiation limited, see later on). The tube diameter has been set to 40 cm and the tube length to 250 cm. However the ratio

size/pressure is an excellent scaling parameter in order to extend the result to different tube diameters. As long as the length is much greater than the tube diameter, the energy distribution in the central part is uniform and independent of the tube length. The power density emitted by unit area has been set to  $\Lambda = 200 \text{ Watt/cm}^2$ . We note that even for such a relatively modest value of  $\Lambda$  the specific energy deposition  $dW/dm \approx 10^6 \text{ Watt/g}$  in the gas is very large indeed. The resulting distribution (Figure 12) show that  $dW/dm$  is relatively uniform, as predicted by one dimensional model, provided the pressure is adjusted to match the range of the FF to the diameter of the tube. For pressures much lower than the optimal one a significant fraction of the FF hit again the walls of the tube. For much larger pressures, FF stop before reaching the central part of the gas.

The fraction of FF energy deposited inside the gas as a function of the gas pressure is shown in Figure 13. We remark the use of the scaling variable [tube diameter]  $\times$  [pressure]. The optimum tube diameter has been somewhat arbitrarily set to a 90% FF fragment energy deposited in the gas and it is shown in Figure 14 as a function of the tube pressure. The resulting heating power distribution is very flat within about  $\pm 12 \%$ , as shown in Figure 15.

To conclude, for a relatively modest surface nuclear power density of the foil of  $\Lambda = 200 \text{ W/cm}^2$ , the specific, volume averaged power given to the gas is  $dW/dm \approx 0.661 \text{ MWatt/g}$ , very large indeed.

Heating powers of the order of one Megawatt for each gram of gas are therefore feasible with acceptably low foil surface heating. Evidently there is a direct proportionality between the surface power dissipation on the foil and the

(almost constant) specific massive energy deposition in the gas. As we shall see later on, the dwelling time of the gas in the tube being relatively long (several seconds) it is possible to reach the required gas temperature with a foil  
5 surface power density typically in the interval  $20 \div 200$  Watt/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is much smaller than the technical limit due to cooling.

#### 4.2- Radiative losses.

The hot gas emits radiant energy, which can be either  
10 re-absorbed by the neighbouring gas or dissipated onto the engine walls. Such a radiative effects are expected to be a rapidly rising function of the gas temperature and play an important role in the operation of the engine. Indeed, the maximum temperature that the FF heating process may achieve  
15 is limited by the equilibrium condition between the specific power brought in by the FF and the power radiated in the frequency domains in which the gas is optically "thin". Therefore these effects determine the ultimate performance of the method.

20 It is a fortunate circumstance that the basic equations for hydrogen atoms and free electrons are well known theoretically. Molecular hydrogen persists only up to temperatures which are below those relevant for significant radiative losses. Therefore a solid theoretical background  
25 exists, at least in the case in which hydrogen is the propulsion element. We shall limit to a summary of results. All transitions, namely bound-bound, bound-continuum and continuum-continuum have been included.

The surviving emitted power carried by radiation after crossing a thickness  $L$  of gas (in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) is shown in Figure 16. The spectrum is peaked in the visible, with a substantial tail in the infra-red.

5 One observes a fast drop of surviving power with traversed thickness in the region corresponding to a fraction of centimetre of gas. A substantial fraction of the radiated power is clearly re-absorbed in the vicinity of the emission point, incidentally where the gas temperature is  
10 very close to the one of the radiating element. This contribution can be safely neglected, since it contributes to an apparent increase of heat conductivity. Following this fast drop, one can observe a relatively long plateau, corresponding to radiation to which the gas is essentially  
15 transparent. This radiation normally escapes out of the heating volume and hits the engine's walls, where it may be absorbed or diffused/reflected back into the gas volume.

As clearly shown from Figure 16, the vast majority of the emitted radiation, at least as long as 12,000 °K,  
20 sharply clusters in two distinct spectral regions:

- 4.2.1) one in which the radiation is safely absorbed well before the indicative thickness of 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , (corresponding to 1 cm of gas at 3 bar and 7,000 °K), causing a nearby heat transfer and
- 25 4.2.2) another for which the gas is essentially transparent up to paths exceeding the size of the gas volume, causing a heat loss to the walls (far-away radiation).

Both far-away radiation and FF heating process are  
30 directly proportional to hydrogen mass, but the former, in contrast with the latter, is growing very quickly with gas temperature. Therefore there will be a limiting temperature

for which the specific power carried away by the far-away radiation becomes equal the one brought in by the FF. The gas temperature will be saturated at this value.

Assume that (1) the FF heating provides a constant power  
5  $W_{FF}$  per unit mass, temperature and position independent and  
(2) the radiation emitted by the gas removes a power  $W_{RR}(T)$   
per unit mass, which in turn can be calculated numerically  
from the radiated power for which the gas is transparent  
(see 4.2.2). After the time  $dt$ , a gas parcel travelling  
10 though the engine along its own tube of flux will acquire a  
specific enthalpy per unit mass:

$$dE = (W_{FF} - W_{RR}(T)) dt$$

On the other hand, the mass flow through the engine is  
conserved and in steady conditions, for any given  
15 temperature is given by:

$$dm/dt = \phi = \text{const}$$

namely equal to the macroscopic massive flow  $\phi$  (g/s) through  
the engine.

Enthalpy change is related to temperature change, by  
20 the (constant pressure) specific heat coefficient,  
 $dE = c_p dT$ . Combining the above equations, we can derive the  
time dependence of the heating process for a given gas  
elementary volume proceeding along a flux line:

$$dt = \frac{c_p(T)}{W_{FF} - W_{RR}(T)} dT$$

25 By integration, this equation may be used to calculate the  
dwell time of the gas inside the engine. In presence of  
radiative losses, which grow very rapidly with the gas  
temperature, a maximum temperature  $T_\infty$  is reached (after an  
infinite time) as an equilibrium between the heat produced

by the FF and radiative losses, corresponding to the condition  $dt/dT = 0$  or  $W_{RR}(T_{\infty}) = W_{FF}$ .

The distribution of the mass of the gas inside the engine as a function of the temperature  $T < T_{\max}$ , is given

5 by:

$$\frac{dm}{dT} = \frac{dm}{dt} \frac{dt}{dT} = \phi \frac{c_p(T)}{W_{FF} - W_{RR}(T)}$$

A typical temperature distribution is shown in Figure 17, with and without the  $W_{RR}(T)$  term. We note the rapid onset of the radiative losses and the asymptotic maximum temperature for  $T_{\infty} = 9,500$  °K. The total mass of gas inside the engine is easily calculated by integration of the curve in Figure 17.

Integrating specific power over the mass distribution as a function of the temperature, we find the total radiated power ending up on the walls for a given final temperature  $T_{\max}$ :

$$P_{\text{rad, wall}}(T_{\max}) = \int_{T_1}^{T_{\max}} W_{RR}(T) \left( \frac{dm}{dT} \right) dT = \phi \int_{T_1}^{T_{\max}} \frac{c_p(T)}{W_{FF}/W_{RR}(T) - 1} dT$$

The total power returning onto the walls is shown in Figure 18, as a function of the final temperature. For not too extreme temperatures, the fraction  $\eta_{\text{rad}} = P_{\text{rad, wall}}(T_{\max})/P_{FF}$  re-absorbed by the walls is modest, for instance  $\eta_{\text{rad}} = 0.0342$  at  $T_{\max} = 9,300$  °K and  $\eta_{\text{rad}} = 0.0576$  at  $T_{\max} = 9,400$  °K. The FF actual power has to be slightly adjusted to correct for these losses.

25 These effects have been carefully included in the Monte-Carlo computation of the performance of the heating

process. Full hydro-dynamical calculations confirm these conclusions.

## 5.- Design criteria for the space propulsion engine.

### 5.1- Functional description.

5 A typical structure is based on a relatively large number of hollow, thin cylindrical tubes, open at one end to let the gas escape to the stagnation region. Each tube (Figure 5) is coated with a thin fissionable layer. A feeble flow of gas (of the order of  $1 \text{ g/s/m}^2$ ) leaks uniformly from  
10 the tube walls into its interior, either through (i) capillary holes, (ii) porosity or (iii) some other equivalent arrangement. It moves slowly away from the walls, converging to the exit end, under the progressive heating action of the FF. The FF are produced by a thin (typically 3  
15  $\mu\text{g/cm}^2$ ) layer of an adequate chemical compound of a highly fissile element (typically  $^{242}\text{mAm}$ ), which provides nuclear criticality because of the highly reflective properties of the reflector. The surface power density due to fission produced on the inner wall of the tube is in the range of  
20  $\Lambda = 20 \div 200 \text{ W/cm}^2$ , corresponding - in appropriate conditions - to a FF specific heating power for the gas of the order of  $dW/dm = 0.5 \times 10^5 \div 10^6 \text{ W/g}$ . A major fraction of the fission power (typically 70%, depending primarily on the geometry and the gas pressure) has to be removed by cooling  
25 the external faces of the tube, while the rest is used to heat-up the gas. To this effect, an appropriate coolant is in good thermal contact with the outer surfaces of tubes, in

analogy of what is done for the fuel rods of an ordinary reactor.

5 Tubes are cooled from the outside. The cooling should be conservatively designed in such a way as to be able to handle the totality of the nuclear heat, since, in case the hydrogen gas would be suddenly missing, the full energy coming from the fission reaction must be absorbed by the wall. The outer side of the supporting wall could be cooled for instance using liquid Lithium (melting point 180 °C, boiling point 1,342 °C), a technology which is used in space applications. Isotopically pure  ${}^7\text{Li}$  has to be used, since  ${}^6\text{Li}$  has a too large neutron capture cross section. On the other hand  ${}^7\text{Li}$  has excellent neutronic properties, as illustrated in Table 2. The liquid Lithium density is 0.534 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Isotopically separated  ${}^7\text{Li}$  is commercially available at relatively low price.  ${}^7\text{Li}$  has no appreciable capture cross section for neutrons and it can be used abundantly without counter indications. Therefore the typical temperature for the outer wall is likely to be in the vicinity of 1,300 °C .

10 20 The temperature of the fissionable layer is slightly higher, because of the temperature drop due to thermal conductivity through the wall of the tube. This temperature difference is typically not larger than a few hundred degrees °C for the above indicated power densities.

25 Almost any gas - or, more generally, any compound which is gaseous at the inlet chamber temperature and pressure - could be heated in this way. The direct use of the FF to heat a gas away from the walls does not suffer from the energy and materials limitations imposed on chemical and gas reactor derived engines. Our approach is based on an ultimately very hot final gas departing from relatively cold, FF emitting walls.

30

We concentrate here on the case of hydrogen, since it is the most suited propellant for space applications. The hot hydrogen gas, at the pressure of a few bars, at stagnation reaches the temperature of the order of 9,500 °K, an equilibrium between heat supply by the FF and heat losses by radiation transparent to the gas and it is exhausted into space through the nozzle and an expanding cone. The very high temperature of the exhausted gas, once transformed by the nozzle into coherent motion in the direction of the thrust, produces exhaust speeds  $v_{\text{exh}}$  which are much larger than the ones of a typical chemical fuel or of a classical nuclear engine. Therefore a given final rocket speed can be achieved with a substantially smaller propellant mass, which in turn extends the potential range of the journey or, alternatively, shortens its duration.

The (hydrogen) gas is in a good approximation operating at an approximately constant pressure in the range from 4 + 20 bar. Note that this pressure range is only indicative since (1) the heating mechanism is almost pressure independent and (2) radiative losses are only very slowly affected by the operating pressure (pressure line broadening, etc.). The hydro-dynamics and the FF heating process are scaling as (pressure  $\times$  size) as long as the gas behaviour is close to the one of a perfect gas. Neutron reflector related considerations may suggest that the lightest device is the one with the smallest core, i.e. the highest possible pressure. However there is no point in making the core much smaller than the required thickness of the reflector. Also the gas input temperature is somewhat arbitrary; the output, saturated temperature is of the order of 9,500 °K, limited, as already illustrated, by the radiative losses of the hot gas. Therefore a substantial

amount of freedom exists in the choice of the parameters which are application dependent.

On the basis of these considerations, we arrive at an engine concept which is based on the following four main components, (1) the reflector, (2) the FF-heating region, (3) the gas expansion and exhaust region and (4) the cooling system. These components are described in more detail herebelow.

We refer to Figure 19 for the basic layout of the engine. Figure 20 shows a cross-sectional view along the medium plane. Dimensions and number of tubes are purely indicative.

## 5.2- The reflector

It contains and reflects the neutrons from the fissile core. Two main (solid) high purity elements are excellent candidates in order to constitute the bulk of the moderator's cavity because of their high neutron reflecting power: (I) Carbon (graphite, carbon fibers) or Beryllium (either metal or BeO).

There is a substantial freedom in the actual realisation of the reflector. For instance, if required by weight's minimisation, the moderator could be made of several layers:

5.2.1) a first, inner layer which is very efficiently reflecting neutrons (e.g. BeO), followed by

5.2.2) a second thinner layer, made for instance of a hydrogen compound, since hydrogen has a much shorter diffusion length  $L = 1/\kappa = \sqrt{D/\Sigma_{\text{capt}}}$  but a less favourable  $F = 1/\sqrt{\kappa D}$ .

5.2.3) The moderator may be lined on the outside with a thin (a few cm) layer of strongly neutron absorbing material like for instance  $\text{CB}_4$ , in order to reduce leakage in the surroundings.

5       The reflector 10 has a removable cover 11 which is used also for refuelling. This is also the natural place where to locate the control bars 12, (made e.g. of  $\text{CB}_4$ ) at an controllable depth inside 10 and necessary to adjust the multiplication coefficient  $k$ , which determines criticality.  
10   It has also an opening 13 through which the propellant is exhausted. Finally appropriate tubing are used to bring in (14) and take out (15) the liquid coolant (e.g. molten Lithium). The temperature of the moderator's cavity determines the average energy of the neutrons.

### 15   5.3- The FF-heating region

      Inside the reflector cavity, a number of heating tubes 16 are located (only one of them is shown in Figure 19 for clarity), whose structure has already been previously described. The Americium (or other equivalent fuel) 5 in a  
20   suitable chemical form, as described above in chapter 3, is deposited on a thin but robust tubular structure 4 (Figure 5). The same structure (Figure 5) must also permit the injection by the wall of a capillary flow of hydrogen gas 6, which is exhausted through the open end of the tube 7. Tubes  
25   are held firmly in place with the help of a locking structure 17, (which is supposed to unlock in order to remove the tubes for refuelling) to a sturdy holding plate 18, which is firmly anchored to the reflector structure. The structure is very similar to the one of an ordinary reactor,  
30   with the tubes replacing the fuel assemblies.

As we shall see further on, the flow of propellant gas, transmitted in the interior of the tubes, is also brought in through the locking structure 17 and travels inside the holding plate 18. The plate 18 separates two main volumes of the engine, the top part 19 in which the tubes are located and which is filled with cooling liquid and the bottom part 20 which is filled with the propellant gas in its way to the throat 21 and the nozzle 22. The base-plate ensures therefore the partition between the cooling liquid on one side and the gas of the stagnation region on the other. The coolant is also used to cool the hot walls in the region 20, with the use of appropriate lining 23. This lining could provide also some hydrogen flow for "transpiration" cooling.

All materials to be used to construct this section must satisfy simultaneously a number of requirements, though to a different extent, depending on the specific function:

5.3.1) Their combined macroscopic absorption neutron cross section must be very small, in order to preserve neutron's transparency inside the moderator's cavity, with the obvious exception of the fissile fuel. The fraction of neutrons absorbed by a given component is roughly proportional to the product (total employed mass)  $\times$  (thermal cross section). Some consideration should be given also to the possible loss of the neutron during the thermalisation process, for which the relevant cross section is the resonance integral.

5.3.2) They must be able to withstand the radiation damage mainly due to the thermal neutron flux and for the fissile layer also of the FF. Fortunately this last effect is limited by penetration to some 10 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thickness. As pointed out in section 3.4

the radiation damage due to this charged particle flux is of about 30 d.p.a. over the indicated burning, corresponding to one half of the fuel fissioned. The radiation damage of the rest of the engine due to the neutron flux is much smaller, typically of the order of 1 d.p.a.

5.3.3) They must retain good mechanical properties in the temperature range of operation, which has been indicatively set to be of the order of 1,500 °K, since much smaller temperatures imply an excessive surface of the radiating panels. They must resist to thermal shocks during operation and have good dimensional stability.

5.3.4) They must be compatible primarily with the propulsion gas (hydrogen) and the refrigerating liquid (molten Lithium). The fuel layer must have an excellent adhesion to the supporting medium. Lack of compatibility could be resolved with the help of suitable coating layers.

5.3.5) They must minimise the associated weight, which is a primary concern in any airborne application.

Amongst the possible choices of the main material constituent of the engine, the use of composite carbon fibres and matrix will be discussed in some detail. These materials, which are relatively new, are in continuous development and are of rapidly improving performance. The development work performed in the so-called ITER project which relates to thermonuclear fusion, and in particular for a critical component called "divertor", is of interest, since it is simultaneously exposed to (i) intense neutron irradiation, (ii) high temperature and temperature shocks and (iii) the presence of a hot hydrogen plasma. The

requirements are therefore quite close to the ones of the present application.

As an example, we list in Table 4 the properties of a composite material made of carbon fibres developed for ITER.

5 This product has been tested under neutron irradiation in the range  $0.8 \div 5$  d.p.a. and at the temperature of  $1,500^{\circ}\text{C}$ , which are our requirements. The results are extremely promising:

10 5.3.1) dimensional variations are small, typically of the order of  $0.1 \div 0.2\%$ .

5.3.2) thermal conductivity, although somewhat affected at low temperatures (a loss factor of  $0.75 \div 0.8$  at  $800^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) remains unaffected above  $1,000^{\circ}\text{C}$ , due to annealing due to absorption of the radiation induced defects favoured by the high temperature.

15 5.3.3) The effects of irradiation on thermal expansion are very small. Incidentally, we note that the thermal expansion of the material is very small, typically one order of magnitude smaller than the one of metallic alloys used in similar conditions.

20 5.3.4) Irradiation improves the Young modulus, with an increment of about  $30 \div 40\%$  after 1 d.p.a. This effects is coupled with an improvement of the Young modulus and of the rupture stress with temperature ( $+15\%$  at  $1,500^{\circ}\text{C}$ ).

25

SEP-NB31		X	Y	Z	
Sublimation temperature	3,600				°C
Density	1.90				g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Thermal expansion		0.4	1	2.1	10 <sup>-6</sup> /°K
Thermal Conductivity		154	58	55	W.m <sup>-1</sup> .°K <sup>-1</sup>
Young Modulus		107	20	12	GPa
Rupture stress		130	30	19	GPa

Table 4. Exemplifying properties of carbon fibre compounds  
(X, Y, Z) developed for ITER.

In general at the temperatures projected for our application, the effects due to neutron irradiation are small and the material retains in practice all the main properties of non-irradiated samples.

Therefore, it seems appropriate to construct the FF heating tubes out of composite carbon fibres and matrix. Another relevant property of these materials is the fact that the compound is porous and permeable to hydrogen (This has also been studied in connection with the ITER project). These features are strongly dependent on the details of the compound and permeability may be varied over many orders of magnitude with the help of specific processes of local compacting or doping. In our case this feature has two important applications:

5.3.5) It allows to introduce the propellant (hydrogen) in the FF heating tubes. It has been verified numerically that a slab of a few millimetre thickness with a limited number of narrow, hollow

channels in which hydrogen is introduced under pressure can provide the necessary supply rate of propellant, should be of the order of  $1 \text{ g/s/m}^2$ . The use of a suitable barrier/coating prevents the leaking of the gas through the outer layer of the tube into the liquid coolant. The principle diagram of the "leaky" wall of the tube is shown in Figure 21.

5.3.6) It permits to cool to an acceptable temperature the chamber walls and the throat, exposed to very hot gas, by the so-called convection transpiration cooling.

The details of an exemplifying tube structure are shown in Figure 21, where a small slice of the circular section of the tube 28 is shown. The inner volume 29 of the tube is filled with gas, while the tube is immersed in the coolant 36. The tube is made of a carbon matrix, with an appropriate orientation of the fibres 33 and it is porous to the gas, which is supplied through a plurality of thin ducts 32 provided within the tube wall. A metallic cladding 30 covers the outer surface of the tubes to prevent the gas from escaping to the coolant region. This cladding 30 is also used to ensure compatibility with the coolant liquid. It can be made of Titanium carbide, for instance. Additional cladding 31 inside the tube walls, on the portions of the gas supply ducts 32 oriented towards the tube exterior, may help directing the gas flow in the direction of the tube inner volume 29. The fuel deposited on the inner wall of the tube 34 may be striped, with many small slits 35 which permit the gas to exit into the tube, although the permeability of the thin deposit to hydrogen is very high

and even a uniform but very thin layer will constitute no barrier to the gas flow.

The chemical compatibility of the composite carbon fibres and matrix with hydrogen: it has been studied and although  
5 at lower temperatures there is the possibility of  $\text{CH}_4$  formation, such an effect is strongly attenuated at high temperatures. Injection of such eventually formed small amount of  $\text{CH}_4$  in the FF heating chamber should be harmless, while the erosion effects on the composite material is  
10 expected to be negligible. On the other hand, in a hydrogen rich environment, oxidation is strongly prevented.

Regarding the chemical compatibility of carbon with Lithium, two effects have to be considered:

5.3.7) Formation of a carbide ( $\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2$ ).

15 5.3.8) Solubility of carbon in the molten metal with complex transport phenomena.

5.3.9) Swelling of the material due to penetration of the molten metal inside the composite.

All these effects need to be investigated, before  
20 allowing a direct contact between the coolant and the carbon fibres. However this contact may be eliminated since, as visible from Figure 21, in order to avoid hydrogen leaking into the coolant, an appropriate surface cladding 30 is used on the outer surface of the tubes, which further ensures the separation between the coolant and the composite carbon  
25 material. An excellent material for this cladding is Titanium Carbide.

To conclude, the general layout of the FF heating region consists of a relatively large number of tubes, made of  
30 carbon composite immersed in a low pressure cooling bath. This is reminiscent of the structure of a ordinary reactor,

with the hollow tubes replacing the fuel rods and assemblies.

In this analogy there is a strong supporting baseline plate in which these tubes are plugged. Single tubes are  
5 removable for refuelling and the fitting joint to the plate ensures mechanical support as well as the supply of hydrogen. Tubes are only held by the locating base-plate, being otherwise free.

Additional refuelling, in analogy to a reactor is  
10 performed by extracting the tubes from the holding plate, once the top cover of the reflector has been removed and in absence of the cooling liquid. The fuel extraction procedure can be performed from the top cover of the moderator's cavity with techniques customary of ordinary reactors, e.g.  
15 with a pair of rotating cover plates.

Evidently more complex arrangements are possible. For instance groups of tubes could be arranged in sub-units (fuel bundles) which are separately removed as blocks during refuelling in space. Each of these sub-units could have its  
20 own gas expansion and exhaust nozzle. Evidently, all the sub-units of the engine are located inside a single reflector volume.

#### 5.4- The gas expansion and exhaust region.

Referring again to Figure 19, the hot gas from the  
25 tubes is collected in the stagnation region 20. This part is very similar to the one of an ordinary chemical rocket engine, except that the stagnation temperature is much higher (for instance 9,500 °K and atomic H in our case, versus 3,500 °K and stoichiometric mixture of H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> for  
30 a liquid H/O chemical engine). The pressure in the engine is

maintained to the prescribed value by a narrow hole 21, the throat, through which the gas escapes to an expansion cone 22, in which the thermal energy is transformed into gas speed and hence thrust. It is most likely that the gas  
5 through the nozzle and in the expansion cone remains atomic hydrogen ("frozen flow"), which has the smallest atomic mass ( $A = 1$ ) and therefore a high specific impulse. The walls of the throat and neighbouring parts are cooled with Lithium 23 and kept at an acceptable temperature by a "pillow" of  
10 colder gas by transpiration. The temperature in the expansion cone is ultimately very low, but exothermic conversion atomic  $\rightarrow$  molecular may occur in contact with solid surfaces.

The overall thermodynamical efficiency of the heat-to-  
15 thrust conversion is typically  $60 \div 70 \%$ .

#### 5.5- The cooling system.

Its function is the one of dissipating into space the heat not converted into propulsion and it is designed in such a way as to be able to dissipate the full nuclear power  
20 produced, in case for instance of failure of the gas supply.

This system is coupled to the heat source (the outer walls of the tubes) by the circulating coolant (heat pipe). Therefore the temperature of the tubes is closely related to the temperature at which the heat is radiated, in turn  
25 related to the needed surface by the  $T_{\text{rad}}^4$  dependence of the Stefan law of a black body radiation. The total radiator surface  $S_{\text{rad}}$  (this is actually the equivalent black-body surface and it is equal to the actual surface times the surface absorption coefficient) is obviously proportional to

the total fissile ( $^{242}\text{mAm}$ ) surface  $S_{\text{foil}}$  and strongly dependent on  $T_{\text{rad}}$ :

$$S_{\text{rad}} = S_{\text{foil}} \frac{dW_{\text{fiss}}}{dS_{\text{foil}}} \left( \frac{64.81 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{K}}{T_{\text{rad}}} \right)^{1/4}$$

The actual dependence for typical values of the  
5 specific fission power is shown in Figure 22. It is evident  
that in order to ensure an effective cooling, the radiator  
must operate at the highest possible temperature. A good  
reference value could be the boiling point of Lithium at low  
pressure (1 bar absolute) corresponding to 1342  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ . At this  
10 temperature the ratio  $S_{\text{rad}}/S_{\text{foil}}$  is respectively 5.12, 2.56  
and 1.28 for  $dW_{\text{fiss}}/dS_{\text{foil}} = 200, 100$  and  $50 \text{ W/cm}^2$  which are  
reasonable values. The latent boiling heat of  $\text{Li}^7$ , which is  
likely to be exploited for the cooling (boiling reactor  
concept) is 19.24 kJoule/g (134.7 kJoule/mol) and cooling  
15 for instance a 100 MWatt power requires boiling and  
subsequent condensation of a mere 5.2 kg/s (9.7 litre/s) of  
coolant. Therefore, for the sake of the qualitative  
considerations which follow, we shall assume an indicative  
temperature of the engine of 1,500  $^{\circ}\text{K}$ .

20 The temperature of the reflector in the moderator's  
cavity for practical reasons is likely to be very close to  
the one of the engine. Therefore, the bulk of the engine  
will operate at the same temperature.

The elimination of the extra heat is a problem in  
25 space. As already pointed out, we assume a molten Lithium  
coolant at the boiling point with low pressure (the exact  
pressure will depend on the actual operating temperature).  
The Lithium is extracted as a vapour and sent to the  
radiating panels, where it condenses back to liquid form.  
30 The latent boiling heat has the relatively high figure of

19.24 kJoule/g. As already pointed out, 100 MWatt power dissipation requires boiling and subsequent condensation of a mere 5.2 kg/s (9.7 litre/s) of coolant.

We report in Figure 23 for illustrative purposes a  
5 schematic, principle diagram of the cooling system. The engine volume filled with coolant 40 produces a two-phase, boiling Lithium which is transferred through 41 to a liquid-vapour separator 42. The liquid is transferred along 43 to a pump 48 and re-injected through 49 in the engine's coolant  
10 volume 40. The fraction which is vapour is brought through 44 to the radiating panels 45 where it slowly liquefies again. The resulting liquid phase, is brought through 46 to a pump 47 and via the pipeline 49 to the engine cooling volume 40.

15 A system of radiating panels 45 of such a significant surface is exposed to the risk of puncturing due to bombardment by meteorites. Therefore a fine segmentation of the coolant flow in the panels is required, with special sealing valves to avoid a massive leak into space in the  
20 case of an accidental hole.

#### 5.6. Expected performance of the heated gas.

In order to transform high temperature heat into thrust, the gas is expanded in the expansion cone, converting the thermal energy (enthalpy) resulting from the  
25 gas heating into kinetic thrust energy, with consequent change in the gas composition. At any point, the speed  $v$  is determined by energy conservation:

$$v = \sqrt{2(E_{\text{stagn}} - E)}$$

the Mach number  $M$  and the area  $A$  relative to that  $A_{\text{throat}}$  of  
30 the throat region are:

$$M = v \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\gamma p}} ; \frac{A}{A_{\text{throat}}} = \frac{p_{\text{throat}}}{p} \frac{v_{\text{throat}}}{v}$$

Therefore it is possible to calculate for each specified pressure value of the iso-entropically expanding gas along the cone the relevant parameters of the gas, and in particular gas speed, i.e. the specific impulse, either at the exit pressure or when the nozzle exhausts to a perfect vacuum.

A main assumption of the previous calculation is the existence of chemical equilibrium of the gas throughout the expanding nozzle. If this assumption is now relaxed, two recombination reactions are relevant toward maintaining the thermodynamical equilibrium in the cool-down process:

5.6.1) Recombination of electron plasma into neutral atoms. The primary reaction is  $p + e^- \rightarrow H^0 + \gamma$ , namely the inverse process of the photo-ionisation. This process is not of relevance since for  $T_\infty = 9,500 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$  the amount of ionisation is very small.

5.6.2) Recombination of neutral atoms into molecules, namely  $H^0 + H^0 \rightarrow H_2$ . This reaction, as written here, is not cinematically possible, since one cannot conserve immediately both energy and momentum. Therefore this form of recombination can only occur through higher order processes involving (1) simultaneous collisions with many bodies like for instance  $3H^0 \rightarrow H^0 + H_2$  requiring a higher pressure, or (2) with the emission of a photon or, more likely, of an Auger electron  $H^0 + H^0 \rightarrow H_2 + \gamma(e)$ . However the threshold for

this latter reaction (2) is the ionisation potential and it is far beyond the typical energies involved.

Therefore it is very likely that this recombination will  
5 remain "frozen" at least at relatively low pressures and  
that the engine's propulsion will be achieved primarily  
through the emission of neutral hydrogen atoms. Therefore  
the calculation has been repeated for a gas in which the  
atomic state delivered by the throat, conservatively at  
10 temperature above recombination, is preserved throughout the  
motion in the expansion cone.

The exhaust speed and the specific impulse against vacuum  
in the assumption of chemical equilibrium and of fully  
"frozen" flow for the stagnation pressure of 6 atm are  
15 plotted in Figure 24, as a function of the stagnation  
temperature. As already pointed out the expected stagnation  
temperature is 9,500 K.

The loss of performance with atomic hydrogen propulsion  
is not as large as one might have anticipated. Indeed,  
20 although the exothermic recombination reaction into hydrogen  
molecules is absent, the average A of the emitted gas is  
halved with respect to molecular hydrogen, thus increasing  
the speed and consequently the specific impulse by a factor  
 $\sqrt{2} = 1.41$ , which is appreciable. At the stagnation  
25 temperature of  $9,300 \div 9,400$  °K, radiation limited, we  
obtain a frozen flow with a specific impulse  $I_{sp} = 2,000$  sec,  
to be compared with  $I_{sp} = 2,540$  sec for full recombination  
(an improvement of 24 %) and  $I_{sp} = 430$  sec of the best  
chemical engines.

30 The atomic hydrogen flow is very cold (220 °K, when  
compared to 2,300 °K with full recombination) which

represents an advantage in the design of the expansion cone. It must be remarked however that recombination may occur quickly in contact with walls, which can provide for the missing momentum balance necessary for the occurrence of the  
5 reaction. This is a well known effect, on which the so-called "plasma torch" is based. Prompt recombination produces abundant heat in contact with the solid surfaces, which might eliminate the above-mentioned advantage of a low gas temperature.

10

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
1014  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023  
1024  
1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029  
1030  
1031  
1032  
1033  
1034  
1035  
1036  
1037  
1038  
1039  
1040  
1041  
1042  
1043  
1044  
1045  
1046  
1047  
1048  
1049  
1050  
1051  
1052  
1053  
1054  
1055  
1056  
1057  
1058  
1059  
1060  
1061  
1062  
1063  
1064  
1065  
1066  
1067  
1068  
1069  
1070  
1071  
1072  
1073  
1074  
1075  
1076  
1077  
1078  
1079  
1080  
1081  
1082  
1083  
1084  
1085  
1086  
1087  
1088  
1089  
1090  
1091  
1092  
1093  
1094  
1095  
1096  
1097  
1098  
1099  
1100  
1101  
1102  
1103  
1104  
1105  
1106  
1107  
1108  
1109  
1110  
1111  
1112  
1113  
1114  
1115  
1116  
1117  
1118  
1119  
1120  
1121  
1122  
1123  
1124  
1125  
1126  
1127  
1128  
1129  
1130  
1131  
1132  
1133  
1134  
1135  
1136  
1137  
1138  
1139  
1140  
1141  
1142  
1143  
1144  
1145  
1146  
1147  
1148  
1149  
1150  
1151  
1152  
1153  
1154  
1155  
1156  
1157  
1158  
1159  
1160  
1161  
1162  
1163  
1164  
1165  
1166  
1167  
1168  
1169  
1170  
1171  
1172  
1173  
1174  
1175  
1176  
1177  
1178  
1179  
1180  
1181  
1182  
1183  
1184  
1185  
1186  
1187  
1188  
1189  
1190  
1191  
1192  
1193  
1194  
1195  
1196  
1197  
1198  
1199  
1200  
1201  
1202  
1203  
1204  
1205  
1206  
1207  
1208  
1209  
1210  
1211  
1212  
1213  
1214  
1215  
1216  
1217  
1218  
1219  
1220  
1221  
1222  
1223  
1224  
1225  
1226  
1227  
1228  
1229  
1230  
1231  
1232  
1233  
1234  
1235  
1236  
1237  
1238  
1239  
1240  
1241  
1242  
1243  
1244  
1245  
1246  
1247  
1248  
1249  
1250  
1251  
1252  
1253  
1254  
1255  
1256  
1257  
1258  
1259  
1260  
1261  
1262  
1263  
1264  
1265  
1266  
1267  
1268  
1269  
1270  
1271  
1272  
1273  
1274  
1275  
1276  
1277  
1278  
1279  
1280  
1281  
1282  
1283  
1284  
1285  
1286  
1287  
1288  
1289  
1290  
1291  
1292  
1293  
1294  
1295  
1296  
1297  
1298  
1299  
1300  
1301  
1302  
1303  
1304  
1305  
1306  
1307  
1308  
1309  
1310  
1311  
1312  
1313  
1314  
1315  
1316  
1317  
1318  
1319  
1320  
1321  
1322  
1323  
1324  
1325  
1326  
1327  
1328  
1329  
1330  
1331  
1332  
1333  
1334  
1335  
1336  
1337  
1338  
1339  
1340  
1341  
1342  
1343  
1344  
1345  
1346  
1347  
1348  
1349  
1350  
1351  
1352  
1353  
1354  
1355  
1356  
1357  
1358  
1359  
1360  
1361  
1362  
1363  
1364  
1365  
1366  
1367  
1368  
1369  
1370  
1371  
1372  
1373  
1374  
1375  
1376  
1377  
1378  
1379  
1380  
1381  
1382  
1383  
1384  
1385  
1386  
1387  
1388  
1389  
1390  
1391  
1392  
1393  
1394  
1395  
1396  
1397  
1398  
1399  
1400  
1401  
1402  
1403  
1404  
1405  
1406  
1407  
1408  
1409  
1410  
1411  
1412  
1413  
1414  
1415  
1416  
1417  
1418  
1419  
1420  
1421  
1422  
1423  
1424  
1425  
1426  
1427  
1428  
1429  
1430  
1431  
1432  
1433  
1434  
1435  
1436  
1437  
1438  
1439  
1440  
1441  
1442  
1443  
1444  
1445  
1446  
1447  
1448  
1449  
1450  
1451  
1452  
1453  
1454  
1455  
1456  
1457  
1458  
1459  
1460  
1461  
1462  
1463  
1464  
1465  
1466  
1467  
1468  
1469  
1470  
1471  
1472  
1473  
1474  
1475  
1476  
1477  
1478  
1479  
1480  
1481  
1482  
1483  
1484  
1485  
1486  
1487  
1488  
1489  
1490  
1491  
1492  
1493  
1494  
1495  
1496  
1497  
1498  
1499  
1500  
1501  
1502  
1503  
1504  
1505  
1506  
1507  
1508  
1509  
1510  
1511  
1512  
1513  
1514  
1515  
1516  
1517  
1518  
1519  
1520  
1521  
1522  
1523  
1524  
1525  
1526  
1527  
1528  
1529  
1530  
1531  
1532  
1533  
1534  
1535  
1536  
1537  
1538  
1539  
1540  
1541  
1542  
1543  
1544  
1545  
1546  
1547  
1548  
1549  
1550  
1551  
1552  
1553  
1554  
1555  
1556  
1557  
1558  
1559  
1560  
1561  
1562  
1563  
1564  
1565  
1566  
1567  
1568  
1569  
1570  
1571  
1572  
1573  
1574  
1575  
1576  
1577  
1578  
1579  
1580  
1581  
1582  
1583  
1584  
1585  
1586  
1587  
1588  
1589  
1590  
1591  
1592  
1593  
1594  
1595  
1596  
1597  
1598  
1599  
1600  
1601  
1602  
1603  
1604  
1605  
1606  
1607  
1608  
1609  
1610  
1611  
1612  
1613  
1614  
1615  
1616  
1617  
1618  
1619  
1620  
1621  
1622  
1623  
1624  
1625  
1626  
1627  
1628  
1629  
1630  
1631  
1632  
1633  
1634  
1635  
1636  
1637  
1638  
1639  
1640  
1641  
1642  
1643  
1644  
1645  
1646  
1647  
1648  
1649  
1650  
1651  
1652  
1653  
1654  
1655  
1656  
1657  
1658  
1659  
1660  
1661  
1662  
1663  
1664  
1665  
1666  
1667  
1668  
1669  
1670  
1671  
1672  
1673  
1674  
1675  
1676  
1677  
1678  
1679  
1680  
1681  
1682  
1683  
1684  
1685  
1686  
1687  
1688  
1689  
1690  
1691  
1692  
1693  
1694  
1695  
1696  
1697  
1698  
1699  
1700  
1701  
1702  
1703  
1704  
1705  
1706  
1707  
1708  
1709  
1710  
1711  
1712  
1713  
1714  
1715  
1716  
1717  
1718  
1719  
1720  
1721  
1722  
1723  
1724  
1725  
1726  
1727  
1728  
1729  
1730  
1731  
1732  
1733  
1734  
1735  
1736  
1737  
1738  
1739  
1740  
1741  
1742  
1743  
1744  
1745  
1746  
1747  
1748  
1749  
1750  
1751  
1752  
1753  
1754  
1755  
1756  
1757  
1758  
1759  
1760  
1761  
1762  
1763  
1764  
1765  
1766  
1767  
1768  
1769  
1770  
1771  
1772  
1773  
1774  
1775  
1776  
1777  
1778  
1779  
1780  
1781  
1782  
1783  
1784  
1785  
1786  
1787  
1788  
1789  
1790  
1791  
1792  
1793  
1794  
1795  
1796  
1797  
1798  
1799  
1800  
1801  
1802  
1803  
1804  
1805  
1806  
1807  
1808  
1809  
1810  
1811  
1812  
1813  
1814  
1815  
1816  
1817  
1818  
1819  
1820  
1821  
1822  
1823  
1824  
1825  
1826  
1827  
1828  
1829  
1830  
1831  
1832  
1833  
1834  
1835  
1836  
1837  
1838  
1839  
1840  
1841  
1842  
1843  
1844  
1845  
1846  
1847  
1848  
1849  
1850  
1851  
1852  
1853  
1854  
1855  
1856  
1857  
1858  
1859  
1860  
1861  
1862  
1863  
1864  
1865  
1866  
1867  
1868  
1869  
1870  
1871  
1872  
1873  
1874  
1875  
1876  
1877  
1878  
1879  
1880  
1881  
1882  
1883  
1884  
1885  
1886  
1887  
1888  
1889  
1890  
1891  
1892  
1893  
1894  
1895  
1896  
1897  
1898  
1899  
1900  
1901  
1902  
1903  
1904  
1905  
1906  
1907  
1908  
1909  
1910  
1911  
1912  
1913  
1914  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
2029  
2030  
2031  
2032  
2033  
2034  
2035  
2036  
2037  
2038  
2039  
2040  
2041  
2042  
2043  
2044  
2045  
2046  
2047  
2048  
2049  
2050  
2051  
2052  
2053  
2054  
2055  
2056  
2057  
2058  
2059  
2060  
2061  
2062  
2063  
2064  
2065  
2066  
2067  
2068  
2069  
2070  
2071  
2072  
2073  
2074  
2075  
2076  
2077  
2078  
2079  
2080  
2081  
2082  
2083  
2084  
2085  
2086  
2087  
2088  
2089  
2090  
2091  
2092  
2093  
2094  
2095  
2096  
2097  
2098  
2099  
2100  
2101  
2102  
2103  
2104  
2105  
2106  
2107  
2108  
2109  
2110  
2111  
2112  
2113  
2114  
2115  
2116  
2117  
2118  
2119  
2120  
2121  
2122  
2123  
2124  
2125  
2126  
2127  
2128  
2129  
2130  
2131  
2132  
2133  
2134  
2135  
2136  
2137  
2138  
2139  
2140  
2141  
2142  
2143  
2144  
2145  
2146  
2147  
2148  
2149  
2150  
2151  
2152  
2153  
2154  
2155  
2156  
2157  
2158  
2159  
2160  
2161  
2162  
2163  
2164  
2165  
2166  
2167  
2168  
2169  
2170  
2171  
2172  
2173  
2174  
2175  
2176  
2177  
2178  
2179  
2180  
2181  
2182  
2183  
2184  
2185  
2186  
2187  
2188  
2189  
2190  
2191  
2192  
2193  
2194  
2195  
2196  
2197  
2198  
2199  
2200  
2201  
2202  
2203  
2204  
2205  
2206  
2207  
2208  
2209  
2210  
2211  
2212  
2213  
2214  
2215  
2216